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## 1 Introduction

This report describes the ORCA025-B83 model configuration. This is a global $1 / 4^{\circ}$ model configuration using an interannual forcing function from 1958 to 2007. The numerical experiment has been performed in the frame of the DRAKKAR project. This report describes this configuration and particularly points the differences between this run and the first DRAKKAR ORCA025 inter-annual run (ORCA025-G70, Molines et al., 2006).

The ORCA025-G70 experiment has been performed in the summer of 2006 and results were widely distributed to the group of associated investigators. It has been analysed by Treguier et al (2007) for the Southern Ocean, Lique et al (2009) for the Arctic Ocean, Michel et al (2009) in the Bay of Biscay, and Tsimplis et al (2008) or Lombard et al (2009) for the variability and trends in sea level. Many other scientific studies based on ORCA025-G70 are still under way. These works points the qualities and defects of the G70 simulation. Since 2006, substantial work has been done to improve both the forcing function and the model parametrizations. This B83 simulation is an attempt to improve G70 results, using an updated code and a more realistic forcing function.

ORCA025-B83 mainly differ from ORCA025-G70 by the forcing function: B83 uses Drakkar Forcing Set 4.1 (DFS4.1) whereas G70 uses Drakkar Forcing Set 3 (DFS3). Differences between these 2 data set are widely described in Brodeau et al., 2009, and will be synthetised in this document.

Another driving idea for ORCA025-B83, was to realize an interannual experiment with the model configuration as close as possible to a climatological experiment (ORCA025MJM01, performed on the new super computer 'Jade' at CINES), forced with DFS4.1 daily climatology, for 310 years, in order to assess model drifts. ORCA025-MJM01 and its comparison to ORCA025-B83 is the topic of another report (Molines et al., 2009, to

[^0]be written). We had the oportunity to run the climatological run (more than 1500000 CPU hours) during fall 2008, and we did it previous to B83.

For this latter reason, we hardly touch the B83 code, compared to MJM01 : Elimination of 3D relaxation in the Mediterranean sea because recent studies (Tsimplis et al.,2008) have shown that the Mediterranean sea representation in ORCA025-G70 (no 3D restoring) was quite fair in many aspects; note that the relaxation in the Gulf of Cadiz between 600 and 1200 m is maintained, so that the modifications in the Mediterranean sea are not propagated to the whole Atlantic Ocean. We also maintain ice model parameters identical to MJM01, despites the fact that they were far from being optimal (more details below).

Besides the forcing set and some parameterization changes, there is one important difference between ORCA025-B83 and ORCA025-G70: the effect of ocean sea surface velocities is not taken into account in the computation of the wind stress, while it was in ORCA025-G70. This is also to be coherent with the climatological run ORCA025MJM01, which uses wind module climatology (hence without sea surface current influence). We acknowledge that sea surface velocities do have an effect on the wind stress, but it is not clear how it is best taken into account. The influence of surface waves, for example, is not taken into account in the usual bulk formulae, and we suspect that these formulae result in too much damping of the ocean mesoscale turbulence (B. Barnier, B. Chapron, personal communications).

This is a technical report: users that are not familiar with the NEMO modelling system (www.nemo-ocean.eu ) should refer to the model documentation (Madec, 2008). The code is based on version NEMO 2.3.

## 2 Basic settings

The list of CPP keys used for this run is found in table 1. With respect to ORCA025-G70 we add the last 2 keys, concerning a BBL advection of momentum (key_dynbbl_adv,(Hervieux, 2007) and the parametrization of the effects of tides on the vertical mixing (key_zdftmx, Koch-Larrouy et al., 2007). For run B83, we do not simulate passive tracers (CFC11 and BombC14) as it was done in G70. This latter choice allows the completion of run B83 before the end of 2008 and its computing time allocation, and is coherent with the fact that the climatological run MJM01 was not designed for passive tracers.

### 2.1 Horizontal grid (like G70)

The horizontal grid was defined as a generic 'ORCA' type mesh with 3 poles, using a t-point pivot for the north fold condition. The horizontal grid resolution is $1 / 4 \mathrm{deg}$ at the equator, leading to horizontal dimensions of $1442 \times 1021$. The minima of the the scale factors (ocean points) are 5.6 km (zonal direction) and 3.1 km (meridional direction). The maximum is 27.8 km at the equator.

| Key | Description |
| :--- | :--- |
| key_orca_r025 | Configuration name |
| key_dynspg_flt | Filtered free surface |
| key_zdftke | TKE vertical mixing scheme |
| key_dtatem | Use temperature data for initial conditions |
| key_dtasal | Use salinity data for initial conditions |
| key_dtasss | Use monthly surface salinity data for relaxation |
| key_tradmp | special relaxation to clim T and S in the Gulf of Cadiz |
| key_flx_core | Use CORE forcing routines and NCAR bulk formulae |
| key_traldf_c2d | 2D lateral diffusion for tracers (depends on dx) |
| key_dynldf_c2d | 2D lateral diffusion for dynamics (depends on dx ${ }^{3}$ ) |
| key_ldfslp | Need to calculate isopycnal slope |
| key_trabbl_dif | diffusive bottom boundary layer parameterization |
| key_trabbl_adv | advective bottom boundary layer parameterization |
| key_dimgout | dimg format for outputs |
| key_mpp_mpi | multiprocessor MPI run |
| key_ice_lim | Ice model |
| key_lim_fdd | Ice model interaction with the ocean. |
| key_dynbbl_adv | advective bottom boundary layer |
| key_zdftmx | update momentum and tracer Kz with tidal induced Kz |

Table 1: CPP keys for ORCA025-B83 run.

### 2.2 Vertical grid (like G70)

There are 46 levels on the vertical, with grid spacing ranging from 6 m near the surface to 250 m at 5750 m . The maximum depth allowed in the model is 6000 m . to reach that depth with partial cells, the deepest cell can have a thickness as large as 500 m in the deep basins. The details of the vertical levels as well as the vertical metrics are given in annex A.

### 2.3 Bathymetry

The model bathymetry for ORCA025-B83 is the same than for ORCA025-G70. The only difference have no impact on the run : The Caspian sea has been removed from the bathymetric file in order to optimize domain decomposition on several hundred of processors. In G70, the Caspian sea was masked afterward. The construction of the ORCA025-G70 bathymetry is recalled below. It is derived from two input files:

- The 2-minute bathymetry file (etopo2) of NGDC, combination of Smith and Sandwell (1997) satellite-based bathymetry (8.2 version), IBCAO (in the Arctic region) and other data in the Antarctic region. The MERCATOR project team has applied additional corrections near the Antarctic (Remy et al, 2003). This file is used for the deep ocean (below 300 m ) because its spatial scales are consistent over the globe.
- The GEBCO 1 mn bathymetry file provided between 88 S and 88 N . This file is used for bathymetry on the shelf because it is found to be more accurate there (above 200 m ).

The interpolation onto the model grid has been conducted by taking all the original grid points falling into an ORCA025 grid box, and taking the median of those points. This procedure produces a smoothing of the sub-grid scale topography. The two bathymetries are combined with a linear ramping between 200 m and 300 m to transition from Gebco to Etopo2. The topography has been smoothed by two passes of a uniform shapiro filter with weight $\mathrm{w}=0.6$, and hand editing has been performed in key areas. The land/sea mask has been edited by hand by the MERCATOR team.

The experiment is run using the partial cell representation of the topography (ln_zps=.true. in the namelist). The following values are used to calculate the partial cell layer thicknesses (in namelist namdom: e3zps_min $=25$. and e3zps_rat $=0.2$.

A list of hand editions made for this run is given in Annex B. There are substantial modifications compared with the original version of 2004 found on the DRAKKAR web site. A first series of modifications was made in the Indonesian Throughflow region by Ariane Koch Larrouy who runs a limited-area model forced at the boundaries by ORCA025-G32 (Larrouy, Madec et al, in preparation). A second series was made in the Gulf of Cadiz following the work of R. Almar and S. Theetten (2005). This work was initiated following our finding that Mediterranean waters as well as overflow waters did not sink to the right depth in ORCA025. The results of the tests, as well as the experiments of G. Hervieux (LEGI) confirmed the small influence of the existing parameterizations in the NEMO code: advective and diffusive bottom boundary layer, as well as enhanced
bottom friction downstream of the straits. A "cliff" approach was attempted for the Mediterranean outflow, which is to dig a narrow channel from the Gibraltar Strait to the right depth $(1200 \mathrm{~m})$. This was found to produce mediterranean water at the right depth but too warm and too saline. Despite these flaws we have preferred it to the previous solution (mediterranean water with more or less the right temperature and salinity but too high in the water column). Results of the first years of the ORCA025-G70 experiment shows that a relaxation to annual Levitus T and S is necessary. This has been introduced succesfully in ORCA025-G70 in layers 21 to 26 ( 637 to 1297 m ), in a gaussian patch of radius 80 km centered at $36^{\circ} \mathrm{N}, 7^{\circ}$ Wand with a maximum relaxation coefficient of 6 days.

The cliff approach is also applied to Denmark Strait. The strait is widened and a channel is dug downstream of the deep outflow. We note that in a short experiment with NATL4, the effect of widening Denmark Strait by a factor of two did not lead to an increase of the overall transport because the velocities became smaller in the same proportion as the increase in area. The properties of the waters downstream improved somewhat, and the overturning increased by at least one Sverdrup.

Finally, two islands have been added in the Torres Strait in order to reduce the barotropic transport, but leaving the strait open.
file used : ORCA025_combined_etopo_gebco_coast_corrected_july08_G70.nc

### 2.4 Initial conditions (like G70)

The initial conditions for temperature and salinity were derived from the Levitus 98 data set for the mean and low latitudes. For high latitudes PHC climatology was used instead. For the Mediteranean Sea, medatlas climatology was used. The initial condition for the sea ice is taken from ORCA025-G45b, a previous run with a climatological CORE forcing. This initial condition corresponds to mean January of year 10 of the G45b experiment.

## 3 Forcings

### 3.1 Runoffs (like G70)

A new runoff file has been calculated using the Dai and Trenberth dataset (Dai and Trenberth, 2002) by Romain Bourdalle-Badie at Mercator:
runoff_coast1pt_ant3pt_obtaz_1m_ORCA025.nc. It includes 99 major rivers and coastal runoffs; the total annual mean input is 1.31 Sv . It is a monthly climatology. A report describing the method is found on the DRAKKAR web site (Bourdalle-Badie and Treguier, 2006). We use the namelist variable nrunoff=2. In that case, a special treatment is applied in runoff regions, where the array upsrnfh is non zero. This array is read in the runoff file (netcdf variable socoefr). It has a value of zero where there is no runoff and 0.5 at runoff points. Since we do not use the centered scheme, there is no need to revert to upstream near runoffs (the TVD advection scheme is used everywhere). In our case the special treatment consists in an enhanced vertical diffusion at the interface of layers 1 and 2 applied in step.F90 (Drakkar configuration manager version):

$$
\text { avt }(:,:, 2)=\operatorname{avt}(:,:, 2)+2 \cdot e-3 * \operatorname{upsrnfh}(:,:)
$$

The vertical mixing is then set to $10^{-3}$ below the top level at runoff points.
upsrnfh is also used to avoid SSS restoring in the vicinity of river mouths (which tends to cancel the runoff effect!)

### 3.2 DFS4.1 Atmospheric forcing

The forcing dataset is called DRAKKAR forcing set 4.1 (DFS4.1), documented in a paper by Brodeau et al (2009). In the following we will just indicate the driving ideas to build DFS4.1 forcing function.

We use a blend of data from various origin and different frequencies. Some come from the CORE dataset assembled by W. Large (available on the GFDL web site; see Large and Yeager, 2004, or Griffies et al, 2009); others come from the ECMWF reanalysis ERA40, and for recent years ECMWF analyses.

The input files are the following, as they were for DFS3:

- Air temperature and specific humidity (2m) 6 hourly values from ERA40: In DFS4, relative to DFS3, corrections have been applied to remove biases in ERA40 (regarding tropical humidity, for example) and to improve the continuity between reanalysis and analysis (Brodeau et al, 2009). We also improved air temperature representation in the northern hemisphere. 2D correction was applied in the Arctic (north of $70^{\circ}$ ) by taking advantage of the POLES climatology of Rigor et al. 2000. A zonal increase of air humidity and temperature was also globally applied between $50^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$ and $70^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$ to limit excessive turbulent heat/water loss in regions such as the Labrador sea.
- Wind velocity components $\mathbf{u} 10$ and $\mathbf{v 1 0}(\mathbf{1 0} \mathbf{~ m}) 6$ hourly values from ERA40: When making the CORE forcing, Large and Yeager (2004) corrected the NCEP winds to bring the wind module to values closer to the Quikscat scatterometer observations. We have done the same with ERA40 winds. This increases evaporation in the tropics and considerably reduces the biases in SST that were found in ORCA025-G70, in the Indian ocean for example.
- Solar and infra-red downwelling radiation : Daily values from satellites (ISCCP, Zhang et al. 2004) are used up to year 2006. Whereas DFS3 was using CORE v1 dataset with respect to the radiative fluxes (a corrected version of the ISCCP data), in DFS4.1 we used our own correction, applied on the raw ISCPP data. A zonal correction is applied to the ISCCP downwelling longwave radiation corresponding to an increase by a factor of $1.02(20 \%)$ between $40^{\circ} \mathrm{S}$ and $25^{\circ} \mathrm{S}$. The downwelling shortwave radiation is decreased by a factor of $0.93(-7 \%)$ between $15^{\circ} \mathrm{S}$ and $25^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$, and by a factor of $0.95(-5 \%)$ between $25^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$ and $40^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$.
- Precipitation (total precipitation and snow) (identical to DFS3) : Monthly fields from CORE, but with correction. Southward to $20^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$, the standard CORE product is used. Northward to $30^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$ the uncorrected CORE product (GXGXS
or Serreze) is used, because we believe that the global correction applied by Large and Yeager to the "corrected" CORE dataset is unwarranted in the northern hemisphere. The two products are blended between $20^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$ and $30^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$. Using the standard CORE product has proven to lead to highly positive global freshwater imbalance both with model simulations and fixed SST off-line tests, leading to a consequent sea level rise. It is also emphasized that the standard CORE precipitation is overestimated on the nordic seas. Using the proposed blending is expected to solve these two issues since the GXGXS provides much less precipitation at every latitude, especially in the north. Off-line flux computation with prescribed SST showed that along the period 1979-2000 the global freshwater imbalance is +17 mm /year with the standard CORE precipitation while it is $-12 \mathrm{~mm} /$ year with the proposed DFS3 precipitation product. A zero imbalance was not a the purpose of this correction since, in our experience, model simulations react differently than these off-line tests. Note that for the period 1958-1978, we use a monthly mean climatology deduced from 1979-2004.

The turbulent fluxes, outgoing radiation and albedo are calculated using the formulae proposed with the CORE dataset (Large and Yeager, 2004). With respect to the G70 version of these formulae, many bugs have been fixed: bug correction in the computation of the exchange coefficients $\left(\mathrm{C}_{e}\right.$ and $\left.\mathrm{C}_{h}\right)$, bug fix in the computation of the latent heatflux concerning the sublimation of ice. Additionally to these bug fixes, we decided not to use the ocean surface velocity in the computation of the exchange coefficient and on the wind stress. We observed from sensitivity experiments that when using the surface velocity for computing the wind stress for example, the eddy kinetic energy is drastically reduced (Jouanno et al. 2008), and does not compare so well with altimetric estimates of the EKE.

### 3.3 Interpolation

The forcing fields are preprocessed and interpolated using the sosie interpolation package (Brodeau 2004), available at :
hhttp://www-meom.hmg.inpg.fr/Web/Outils/SOSIE/sosie.html
The algorithm used follows Akima, 1974 : "A method of bivariate interpolation and smooth surface fitting based on local procedures". Prior to the interpolation, the DROWN procedure implemented into sosie is used to extrapolate sea values onto an extended land mask, this avoids some coastal land values influencing the interpolation process. Moreover, gridded data fields extracted from spectral models such as NCEP and ECMWF have the disadvantage to propagate erroneous land values onto the sea through spatial features resembling waves especially in the vicinity of coastal mountain ranges. That is why an extended land mask has been created to flag such coastal regions. These regions may be of primary importance, such as the coast of Chile where non-treated winds would hardly generate any upwelling. Another important feature of the interpolation process is the rotation of the wind vector onto distorted regions of the target grid (northward
$40^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$ for ORCA grids).

### 3.4 Katabatic winds

A modification is made to the wind forcing to improve the representation of the katabatic winds around Antarctica (Mathiot, 2005). This is done by multiplying the two components of the wind stress by a coefficient read on katamask.nc file. This coefficient and its area of application around Antarctica has been determined by comparison over the period 1980-1989 of ERA40 with a downscaling of ERA40 on the Antarctic carried out with the regional atmospheric model MAR designed for the simulation of katabatic winds (H. Gallée, LGGE). The coefficient is constant in time, but has a geographical dependence and an extent over the ocean which fits the results of MAR. This correction of the katabatic winds has been validated by a series of simulations long of 10 years, carried out with a model of the ACC extracted from ORCA05, and driven at its open boundary by the solution of ORCA05-G42 (Mathiot, 2009). This correction is applied over the period 1958-2001. When switching wind forcing to ECMWF analysis products, (2002-2004), it was turned off: these higher resolution (than ERA40) products have almost correct katabatic winds, and the correction was not tuned for these winds.

### 3.5 Forcing parameters

The forcing routine and the ice model are called only once every two hours, e.g. 5 time steps (nfice=5 and nfbulk=5 ) in the namelist namdom. There is relaxation to sea surface salinity (monthly climatology of Levitus/PHC). The coefficient (m/day) is set in the namelist:

```
&namsbc
    cdmp = 0.1666666667
```

This value is chosen by consistency with the "strong relaxation" cases in the CORE papers by Griffies et al., 2006. It amounts to a decay time of 60 days for 10 m of water depth. We decided to maintain SSS restoring under the ice cover with a 5 -time enhanced coefficient ( 12 days for 10 m ). We also have an enhanced SSS relaxation (x3) on semi enclosed seas: Mediterranean, Red Sea and Persic Gulf. For these latter 2 seas, we even have a mild 3D restoring (time scale of 180 days) for T and S .

### 3.6 Miscellaneous

We use the standard NEMO scheme for penetrative solar radiation, based on clear water only. It does not take into account data of water colour.

Note that there is no representation of the diurnal cycle in this experiment: this would require a vertical resolution of 1 m in the upper layers (Bernie and Madec, personal communication).

Finally, there is relaxation to climatological temperature and salinity in a small region in the Gulf of Cadiz (see details in the "bathymetry" section). We relax to the Levitus
annual temperature and salinity, the seasonal cycle being small in the relaxation zone (below 637 m ). The restoring time scale is 6 days as a maximum and the strength of the relaxation decays to 0 on a 100 km diameter circle centered somewhat downstream the Strait of Gibraltar.

### 3.7 Sea surface height adjustment

We do not use any SSH adjustment. We set $\ln \_\mathrm{fwb}=$.false. in the namelist. No attempt is made to ensure that the correction term (erp) by relaxation to observed SSS had a zero integral over the global ocean (suppression of the adjustments using "aplus" and "aminus" in routine ocesbc.F90). All experiments with CORE forcings had an increase of the global SSH, and so was the case of an hybrid forcing experiment with the $1 / 2^{\circ}$ global model (ORCA05-G60). To minimize this drift, precipitations have been reduced compared with previous cases (section 3.2).

## 4 Ice model

The ice parameters chosen are identical to ORCA025-MJM01 and are not the same than those used in ORCA025-G70, the differences are mainly in the ice dynamics. dm was put to zero instead of $0.610^{3}$, pstar was reduced from $1.10^{4}$ to $5.10^{3}$, creepl was reduced from $2.10^{-8}$ to $1.10^{-9}$ and ahi0 was reduced from 200 to 50 . This set of parameters was proposed by T. Fichefet (personnal communication) as a sensitivity experiment for ORCA025. The first conclusion of this sensitivity experiment was that the ice solution was worse in general than when running with the standard set of coefficients. The major flaw was a far too thick ice in the Beaufort Gyre, in the Arctic Sea (nearly 20 $\mathrm{m}!)$. When running the long term climatological experiment we did the mistake to take this wrong set of parameters, but we discover the problem when starting to run B83: default are amplified in the inter-anual run with respect to the climatological one. However, we stick to this set, as we need an inter-annual simulation as close as the climatological one, for our scientific goals. Once we pointed out the problem of this bad choice we did a climatological sensitivity experiment during 30 years, using G70 set of parameter (ORCA025-MJM04) and the results (surprisingly) showed very small differences in the global ocean, except of course in the Artic. Even the Antarctic was pretty much unchanged. We do see in this result a strong stabilizing impact of the SSS restoring, under ice, which finally probably clamp the fresh water flux to the ocean.

```
&namicerun
    ln_limdyn = .true.
    acrit = 1.0e-06 , 1.0e-06
    hsndif = 0.0
    hicdif = 0.0
    ln_limdmp = .false.
/
&namiceini
    ln_lim_ini = .true.
    ttest = 2.0
```

```
    hninn = 0.5
    hginn = 3.0
    alinn = 0.05
    hnins = 0.1
    hgins = 1.0
    alins = 0.1
/
&namicedyn
    epsd = 1.0e-20
    alpha = 0.5
    dm = 0.
    nbiter = 1
    nbitdr = 100
    om = 0.5
    resl = 5.0e-05
    cw = 5.0e-03
    angvg = 0.0
    pstar = 5000
    c_rhg = 20.0
    etamn = 0.0e+07
    creepl = 1.0e-09
    ecc = 2.0
    ahi0 = 50.e0
/
&namicetrp
    bound = 0.
/
&namicethd
    hmelt = -0.15
    hiccrit = 0.6 , 0.3
    hicmin = 0.2
    hiclim = 0.05
    amax = 0.999
    swiqst = 1.
    sbeta = 1.
    parlat = 0.0
    hakspl = 0.5
    hibspl = 0.5
    exld = 2.0
    hakdif = 1.0
    thth = 0.2
    hnzst = 0.1
    parsub = 1.0
    alphs = 1.0
/
```


## 5 Parametrizations

All runs are performed with the free surface, constant volume formulation (key_dynspg_flt defined).

### 5.1 Advection schemes:

We use TVD for tracers (ln_traadv_tvd = .true. ). We use the new momentum advection scheme suitable for partial step topography (ln_dynvor_een = .true. ).

### 5.2 Lateral mixing:

-tracer : a laplacian isopycnal diffusion is used with aht $0=300 \mathrm{~m}^{2} / \mathrm{s}$ at the equator. The coefficient decreases polewards proportionally to the grid size. Associated keys are key_traldf_c2d and key_ldfslp.
-dynamics : A biharmonic horizontal viscosity is used with ahm0 $=-1.510^{11} \mathrm{~m}^{4} / \mathrm{s}^{2}$.
The viscosity depends on the grid size with $\delta x^{3}$.

### 5.3 Bottom boundary layer:

A diffusive bottom boundary layer is activated using key_trabbl_dif with atrbbl $=1000$ $\mathrm{m}^{2} \cdot \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$. The advective boundary layer has been tested in the North Atlantic regional configuration NATL4 with only a small effect (small compared with the improvements found in the FLAME model). Despite the weakness of this effect, we keep the advective BBL scheme all the same (key_trabbl_adv), as it produces a small improvement.

In run B83, we also used the bottom boundary layer advection of momentum: This parametrization was first tested by B. Ferron (pers. comm.) in a Clipper like North Atlantic model configuration with quite good results. B.Ferron code has been ported to NEMO and the DRAKKAR configurations (Hervieux, 2008) and used in the present run. The improvement is small but significant, in term of increase of the bottom density, downstream the overflow regions.

### 5.4 Vertical mixing:

There is no double-diffusive mixing.
-tke: TKE is used to compute the vertical mixing. We use the new version of the TKE routine recently proposed by Gurvan MADEC with the following namelist parameters. No changes where made since ORCA025-G70.

```
! namtke turbulent eddy kinetic dependent vertical diffusion
( #ifdef "key_zdftke" )
! ln_rstke flag to restart with tke from a run without tke (default F)
! ediff coef. to compute vertical eddy coef. (avt=ediff*mxl*sqrt(e) )
! ediss coef. of the Kolmogoroff dissipation
! ebb coef. of the surface input of tke
! efave coef. to applied to the tke diffusion ( avtke=efave*avm )
! emin minimum value of tke (m^2/s^2)
! emin0 surface minimum value of tke (m^2/s^2)
! nitke number of restart iterative loops
```

```
ri_c critic richardson number
    nmxl flag on mixing length used
        = 0 bounded by the distance to surface and bottom
        = 1 bounded by the local vertical scale factor
        = 2 first vertical derivative of mixing length bounded by 1
    npdl flag on prandtl number
        = 0 no vertical prandtl number (avt=avm)
        = 1 prandtl number function of richarson number (avt=pdl*avm)
        = 2 same as = 1 but a shapiro filter is applied on pdl
    nave = horizontal averaged (=1/2) or not (=0) of avt (default =1)
    navb = 0 cst background avt0, avm0 / =1 profile used on avtb
    ln_lsfc computation surface value of buoyancy lenght scale as function of wind stress
    lmin buoyancy lenght scale minimum value if ln_lsfc = F
    n_etau test param. to add tke induced by wind
        = 0 no add tke induced by wind
        = 1 add tke induced by wind
        = 2 add tke induced by wind only at the base of the mixed layer
nhtau =
fr_emin fraction of TKE surface value which penetrates inside the thermocline
ln_lc flag to take into account Langmuir circulation
rc_lc coef to compute verticla velocity of LC
&namtke
ln_rstke = .false.
ediff = 0.1
ediss = 0.7
ebb = 60.
efave = 1.
emin = 1.e-6
emin0 = 1.e-4
nitke = 50
nmxl = 3
npdl = 1
navb = 0
nave = 1
ln_lsfc = .true.
lmin = 0.4
n_etau = 1
nhtau = 3
fr_emin = 0.05
ln_lc = .true.
rc_lc = 0.15
```

-background and convection: The enhanced vertical diffusion is used when convection is diagnosed. The corresponding namelist block is :


```
avmO vertical eddy viscosity for the dynamic (m2/s)
avt0 vertical eddy diffusivity for tracers (m2/s)
avevd vertical coefficient for enhanced diffusion scheme (m2/s)
n_evdm = O apply enhanced mixing on tracer only
    = 1 apply enhanced mixing on both tracer and momentum
ln_zdfexp vertical physics: (=T) time splitting (T) (Default=F)
    (=F) euler backward (F)
! n_zdfexp number of sub-timestep for time splitting scheme
&namzdf
    ln_zdfevd = .true.
    ln_zdfnpc = .false.
    avm0 = 1.e-4
    avt0 = 1.e-5
    avevd = 10.
    n_evdm = 1
    ln_zdfexp = .false.
    n_zdfexp = 3
```

Again, no changes where made since G70. In the previous version of TKE there was an enhancement of the background by a factor of 10 in the top layer (and 5 and 2.5 in the second and third) in order to avoid too shallow mixed layers in summer. This is suppressed in the new version of TKE. The background coefficient is divided by a factor of 10 under the ice.
-zdftmx: In run B83, we implement the parametrization of tidally induced vertical mixing, developped by A. Koch-Larrouy (2008). This is a parametrization of the verical mixing produced by the breaking of internal waves generated by barotropicbaroclinic conversion of tidal energy. This parametrization requires a mapping of the available energy. Those map were provided by F. Lyard as a results of the finite element global tidal model, for tidal components $\mathrm{M}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{K}_{1}$. In Ariane work, in the Indonesian throughflow region, the assumption was made that all the tidal energy is converted in vertical mixing (based on observations). Away from this region, we assume than only $1 / 3$ of the energy is converted in mixing. This value is a rather crude estimate that may probably be improved.
files used : M2rowdrg_R025_modif.nc K1rowdrg_R025_modif.nc

### 5.5 Bottom friction and lateral boundary condition

The namelist is the following. We use a spatially variable coefficient: the bottom friction coefficient is multiplied by bfrien=50 in Torres Strait: this is to reduce the flow through this strait, that is observed to be small. No changes compared to G70.

```
! nambfr bottom friction
nbotfr type of bottom friction
    nbotfr = 0 , no slip
    nbotfr = 1 , linear friction
```

```
                                    nbotfr = 2 , nonlinear friction
                                    nbotfr = 3 , free slip
bfri1 bottom drag coefficient (linear case)
bfri2 bottom drag coefficient (non linear case)
bfeb2 bottom turbulent kinetic energy (m^2/s^2)
! ln_bfr2d: flag for 2d coef enhancement read in file
! bfrien: enhancement coefficient (real > 1)
&nambfr
nbotfr = 2
bfri1 = 4.e-4
bfri2 = 1.e-3
bfeb2 = 2.5e-3
ln_bfr2d = .true.
bfrien = 50.
```

The lateral boundary condition is free slip (a test with no-slip was made in 2004 and the results were not good, Thierry Penduff, publication in preparation). We modify it locally to decrease the transport at some straits. The following modifications were made in dommsk.F90 in ORCA025-G70 and are still used in this experiment :

```
IF( cp_cfg == "orca" .AND. jp_cfg == 25 ) THEN ! ORCA R025 configuration
    ! ! =========================
    ii0 = 212 ; ii1 = 212 ! East of Ombai strait
    ij0 = 464 ; ij1 = 465 ; fmask( mi0(ii0):mi1(ii1) , mj0(ij0):mj1(ij1), 1:jpk ) = 2.0
    IF(lwp) WRITE(numout,*)
    IF(lwp) WRITE(numout,*) , orca_r025: fmask = 2 at the East Ombai Strait'
    iiO = 210 ; ii1 = 211 ! West of Ombai strait
    ij0 = 466 ; ij1 = 466 ; fmask( mi0(ii0):mi1(ii1) , mj0(ij0):mj1(ij1), 1:jpk ) = 2.0
    IF(lwp) WRITE(numout,*)
    IF(lwp) WRITE(numout,*) , orca_r025: fmask = 2 at the West Ombai Strait ,
    iiO = 210 ; ii1 = 210 ! exit of Ombai strait
    ij0=464 ; ij1 = 465 ; fmask( mi0(ii0):mi1(ii1) , mj0(ij0):mj1(ij1), 1:jpk ) = 2.0
    IF(lwp) WRITE(numout,*)
    IF(lwp) WRITE(numout,*) , orca_r025: fmask = 2 at the exit of Ombai Strait ,
    iiO = 172 ; ii1 = 175 ! Lombok strait
    ij0 = 463 ; ij1 = 463 ; fmask( mi0(ii0):mi1(ii1) , mj0(ij0):mj1(ij1), 1:jpk ) = 2.0
    IF(lwp) WRITE(numout,*)
    IF(lwp) WRITE(numout,*) , orca_r025: fmask = 2 at the Lombok Strait'
        !
ENDIF
```

and further modifications:

```
! Locally modify shlat :
IF( cp_cfg == "orca" .AND. jp_cfg == 025 ) THEN
    ! Increased lateral friction in !
    the vicinity of some straits
            !! Gibraltar strait and Gulf of Cadiz
            ij0 = 652 ; ij1 = 654
            iiO = 1125 ; ii1 = 1127
```

```
    zshlat=3
    DO jj = mjO(ij0),mj1(ij1)
    DO ji = miO(iiO), mi1(ii1)
            IF( fmask(ji,jj,jk) == 0. ) THEN
                    fmask(ji,jj,jk) = zshlat * MIN( 1., MAX( zwf(ji+1,jj), zwf(ji,jj+1), &
                    & zwf(ji-1,jj), zwf(ji,jj-1) ) )
            ENDIF
            END DO
        END DO
    !
ENDIF
```


## 6 miscellaneous:

-timestep : The time steps is set to 1440 s ( 60 steps/day).
-hpg implicit : The semi-implicit scheme is applied to allow a larger time step (a factor of two on the cfl criterion linked with internal wave propagation).
-asselin : the asselin coefficient is taken to 0.1

## $7 \quad$ Simulation strategy

The present run starts in 1958 (first year of ERA40 and CORE), directly with the interannual varying forcing. We prefer to avoid a long spin up with either climatological or repeated year (the 8 years spin up using year 1958 in ORCA025-G50 was not conclusive).

## 8 Model outputs

### 8.1 Archived fields

Files are stored every 5 days (nwrite $=300$ steps). 3D variables are $U, V, T, S, W, K_{z}$. $K_{z}$ is a time-average of the total vertical mixing including convection events (suitable for off-line tracer calculations). Two dimensional fields are taux and tauy (in U and V files) and in the "grid T" files:
sossheig Sea surface height (in meters)
somxl010 Mixed layer depth (in m ) based on a $\sigma_{0}$ difference of 0.01 with the surface sohefldo Net Downward Heat Flux (in W. $m^{-2}$ )
soshfldo Short wave downward radiative flux (in W. $m^{-2}$ )
sowaflup Net upward water flux in $\mathrm{kg} \cdot \mathrm{m}^{2} \cdot \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ (forcing of the sea surface height)
sowafldp Surface water flux damping in kg.m ${ }^{2} . \mathrm{s}^{-1}$
iowaflup Ice-ocean water flux in $\mathrm{kg} \cdot \mathrm{m}^{2} . \mathrm{s}^{-1}$
sowaflcd Concentration-dilution water flux (the product of this flux with surface salinity is the forcing term in the salinity equation)
solhflup Latent heat flux (in W. $m^{-2}$ )
solwfldo Long wave downward radiative flux (in W. $m^{-2}$ )
sosbhfup Sensible heat flux (in W. $m^{-2}$ )

### 8.2 Storage strategy

Although we have thought about storage in 16 bits, for now the netcdf variables are floats with 32 bits. For best performances, the output are written by each processor in direct acces files. Those files are recombined immediately after the experiment (see Molines (2004) [19] for more details). The output files stored on gaya at IDRIS are 5 days averages. They are netcdf files very similar to classical OPA netcdf output, excepted for a few differences:

- one file for each 5-day average
- definition of time_counter: The time_counter gives the number of seconds since the begining of the run.
- naming convention for the files: There are 5 types of files; 4 corresponds to the different grid points: gridT, gridU, gridV and gridW; a fifth type of file corresponds to the ice model output. File names are almost self explanatory: (e.g. ORCA025G22_y0010m10d03_gridT.nc or ORCA025-G22_y0010m10d03_icemod.nc). The time indicated in the name of the file corresponds to the date at the end of the period used to time-average the data.
- archiving the results: The results are archived on rcli600@gaya:ORCA025/. Data are organized in many directories. Raw results from the run are stored under ORCA025-CASE-S (one sub-directory per year then). Mean values computed from the raw results are stored in ORCA025-CASE-MEAN. There are monthly means and annual means (one sub-directory per year). Interannual means are also computed. The implementation of this directory tree for archiving the results, is part of the strategy used in DRAKKAR to share different configurations between groups of users (see Molines et al.(2004) [20] ).

Note that the special value on land is zero. This is properly referenced in the header of each file (and causes problems with older versions of the "ncra" software).


Figure 1: Domain decomposition on 256 processors

## 9 Numerics

### 9.1 Domain decomposition

ORCA025 runs on massively parallel computer, using a domain decomposition technique. Processors that corresponds to land are eliminated in the initialisation procedure; in the ORCA025-B83 case, the decomposition is done on $18 \times 17$ processors, but only 256 are used. The choice of the best processor layout is done during a pre-processing phase (see details in Molines (2004) [18] ). The message passing between processors is explicitly done using the MPI library (option "isend").

### 9.2 Performance on the IDRIS IBM (vargas)

This configuration is implemented on the IDRIS IBM (vargas), and run on 256 processors The best performance is achieved using the namelist option c-mpi_send = 'I', for message passing The performance has been tested with the other solver (nsolv=2) and
extra halos to reduce communications: it does not bring a significant gain.
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## A Annex A

## vertical levels

```
zgr_z : Reference vertical z-coordinates
    zsur, za0, za1 computed from
                zdzmin = 6.00000000000000000
                zhmax = 5720.00000000000000
            Namelist namzgr : value of coefficients for vertical mesh:
                zsur = -2143.95922194325158
                za0 = 127.451110365423233
                za1 = 123.075814004137086
                zkth = 23.5629999999999988
                zacr = 9.00000000000000000
\begin{tabular}{crrrl}
\multicolumn{5}{c}{ Reference } \\
level & -coordinate depth & and scale factors: \\
levep & gdept & gdepw & e3t & e3w \\
1 & 3.05 & 0.00 & 6.19 & 6.00 \\
2 & 9.45 & 6.19 & 6.64 & 6.40 \\
3 & 16.36 & 12.83 & 7.19 & 6.90 \\
4 & 23.88 & 20.03 & 7.88 & 7.52 \\
5 & 32.18 & 27.92 & 8.74 & 8.29 \\
6 & 41.44 & 36.68 & 9.80 & 9.25 \\
7 & 51.87 & 46.49 & 11.12 & 10.43 \\
8 & 63.78 & 57.62 & 12.74 & 11.89 \\
9 & 77.48 & 70.38 & 14.73 & 13.69 \\
10 & 93.39 & 85.13 & 17.18 & 15.89 \\
11 & 112.01 & 102.33 & 20.16 & 18.60 \\
12 & 133.92 & 122.52 & 23.78 & 21.88 \\
13 & 159.82 & 146.33 & 28.14 & 25.86
\end{tabular}
```

| 14 | 190.49 | 174.50 | 33.36 | 30.63 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 15 | 226.85 | 207.90 | 39.54 | 36.32 |
| 16 | 269.92 | 247.48 | 46.78 | 43.02 |
| 17 | 320.80 | 294.31 | 55.16 | 50.83 |
| 18 | 380.63 | 349.52 | 64.70 | 59.78 |
| 19 | 450.57 | 414.27 | 75.38 | 69.90 |
| 20 | 531.74 | 489.69 | 87.11 | 81.12 |
| 21 | 625.09 | 576.84 | 99.72 | 93.32 |
| 22 | 731.40 | 676.59 | 112.98 | 106.29 |
| 23 | 851.17 | 789.58 | 126.59 | 119.76 |
| 24 | 984.59 | 916.17 | 140.22 | 133.42 |
| 25 | 1131.50 | 1056.38 | 153.54 | 146.94 |
| 26 | 1291.46 | 1209.89 | 166.25 | 159.99 |
| 27 | 1463.71 | 1376.10 | 178.10 | 172.29 |
| 28 | 1647.31 | 1554.16 | 188.92 | 183.65 |
| 29 | 1841.17 | 1743.03 | 198.61 | 193.91 |
| 30 | 2044.14 | 1941.59 | 207.13 | 203.01 |
| 31 | 2255.05 | 2148.67 | 214.51 | 210.96 |
| 32 | 2472.80 | 2363.14 | 220.82 | 217.79 |
| 33 | 2696.36 | 2583.92 | 226.15 | 223.60 |
| 34 | 2924.82 | 2810.03 | 230.62 | 228.49 |
| 35 | 3157.35 | 3040.62 | 234.32 | 232.56 |
| 36 | 3393.25 | 3274.92 | 237.38 | 235.93 |
| 37 | 3631.93 | 3512.27 | 239.89 | 238.70 |
| 38 | 3872.87 | 3752.14 | 241.93 | 240.96 |
| 39 | 4115.66 | 3994.06 | 243.60 | 242.81 |
| 40 | 4359.96 | 4237.64 | 244.95 | 244.31 |
| 41 | 4605.47 | 4482.58 | 246.04 | 245.52 |
| 42 | 4851.97 | 4728.61 | 246.92 | 246.50 |
| 43 | 5099.25 | 4975.52 | 247.63 | 247.29 |
| 44 | 5347.18 | 5223.15 | 248.20 | 247.93 |
| 45 | 5595.62 | 5471.34 | 248.66 | 248.44 |
| 46 | 5844.47 | 5720.00 | 249.03 | 248.86 |

## B Annex B

```
! Hand modifications by Anne Marie Treguier and Thierry Penduff,
    as well as Ariane Koch Larrouy for the Indonesian throughflow region.
    on ORCA_RO25 bathymetry for partial steps.
! #################################################################
! Name of the zoomed area : Torres staits
! zoom ratio : 2
! Thierry: Put some islands in G47
bathy( 279, 460) = 0 !
bathy( 279, 458) = 0 !
bathy( 279, 456) = 0 !
!
```

```
! ################################################################
! Name of the zoomed area : Vema fracture zone
! zoom ration : 2
! i min : 946
! i max : }101
! j min : 507
! j max : 573
bathy( 976, 543) = 4400 ! instead of 4279
bathy( 977, 543) = 4400 ! instead of 4189
bathy( 978, 543) = 4400 ! instead of 4042
bathy( 979, 543) = 4400 ! instead of 3889
bathy( 979, 542) = 4400 ! instead of 4205
bathy( 980, 542) = 4400 ! instead of 4103
bathy( 981, 542) = 4400 ! instead of 4093
bathy( 982, 542) = 4400 ! instead of 4126
bathy( 983, 542) = 4400 ! instead of 4008
bathy( 984, 542) = 4400 ! instead of 3870
bathy( 985, 542) = 4400 ! instead of 3632
bathy( 986,542) = 4400 ! instead of 3691
bathy( 987, 542) = 4400 ! instead of 3883
bathy( 988, 542) = 4400 ! instead of 3969
bathy( 989, 542) = 4400 ! instead of 4141
bathy( 990, 542) = 4400 ! instead of 4273
! Thierry: new bathy G45
bathy( 992, 542) = 4482 ! instead of 4273
!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!
! ################################################################
! Name of the zoomed area : Fifteen twenty fracture zone
! zoom ration : 2
! i min : 934
! i max : }100
! j min : 522
! j max : 588
bathy( 960, 562) = 3800 ! instead of 3782
bathy( 961, 562) = 3800 ! instead of 3630
bathy( 961, 561) = 3800 ! instead of 3597
bathy( 962, 561) = 3800 ! instead of 3542
bathy( 963,561) = 3800 ! instead of 3560
bathy( 964, 561) = 3800 ! instead of 3636
bathy( 965,561) = 3800 ! instead of 3703
! ##################################################################
! Name of the zoomed area : Lesser Antilles
! zoom ration : 2
! i min : }86
! i max : }93
! j min : 524
```

```
! j max : 590
bathy \((903,547)=200 \quad!\) instead of
bathy \((904,549)=200 \quad!\) instead of
bathy \((905,550)=200\) ! instead of
b 799
! ################################################################
! Name of the zoomed area : Florida Straits
! zoom ration : 2
! i min : 796
! i max : }86
! j min : }56
! j max : }62
bathy( 827, 599) = 800 ! instead of 707
bathy( 828, 599) = 800 ! instead of 643
bathy( 829, 599) = 800 ! instead of 552
bathy ( 829, 600) = 800 ! instead of }61
bathy ( 830, 600) = 800 ! instead of }71
bathy ( 831, 600) = 800 ! instead of 740
bathy( 832, 601) = 800 ! instead of 747
bathy( 832, 602) = 800 ! instead of 773
bathy ( 831, 602) = 800 ! instead of 761
bathy ( 832, 603) = 800 ! instead of }76
bathy( 832, 604) = 800 ! instead of 714
bathy( 832, 605) = 800 ! instead of }63
bathy( 832, 606) = 800 ! instead of 598
bathy( 832, 607) = 760 ! instead of 602
bathy( 832, 608) = 760 ! instead of 610
bathy ( 832, 609) = 760 ! instead of }61
bathy ( 832, 610) = 760 ! instead of 627
bathy( 832, 611) = 730 ! instead of 620
```



```
! #################################################################
! Name of the zoomed area : Gibraltar
! zoom ration : 2
! i min : }109
! i max : }115
! j min : 616
! j max : 682
bathy(1127, 654) = 300 ! instead of 194
bathy(1126, 654) = 280 ! instead of 144
bathy(1126,653) = 280 ! instead of 170
! Water flowing downstream of Gibraltar into the Atlantic.
! Create an artificial deep channel based on work by Sebastien Theetten
! and Raphael Almar (2005), revised by Thierry Penduff.
bathy(1125, 653) = 800 ! instead of 320
bathy (1124, 653) = 1100 ! instead of 415
bathy (1123, 653) = 1200 ! instead of 659
bathy(1122, 653) = 1200 ! instead of 881
bathy(1121, 653) = 1200 ! instead of 881
bathy(1120, 653) = 1200 ! instead of 881
```

! \#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#
! Name of the zoomed area : Wyville-Thompson ridge, Faroe bank channel
! zoom ration : 2


```
!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!! VERSION T.PENDUFF july 12 2006
!! Create an artificial channel to bring dense water
!! down and widen the strait.
!! Name of the zoomed area : Denmark Strait
bathy(1047,834:835) = 670 ! entrance
bathy(1046,833:835) = 670 ! entrance
bathy(1045,832:834) = 670 ! entrance
bathy (1044,831:833) = 670 ! entrance
bathy (1043,830:832) = 670 !
bathy (1042,829:831) = 670 !
bathy(1041,828:830) = 670 !
bathy(1040,828:829) = 670 !
bathy(1040,827 ) = 1950 ! first flat step (BBLadv)
bathy(1039,827:828) = 1950 !
```

```
bathy(1039,826 ) = 2158 ! second flat step (BBLdiff)
bathy(1038,826:827) = 2158 !
bathy(1038,825 ) = 2595 ! outflow channel
bathy (1037,824:826) = 2595 !
bathy(1036,824:825) = 2595
bathy(1035,824:825) = 2595
bathy (1034,824:825) = 2595 !
bathy (1033,823:825) = 2595 !
bathy (1032,822:824) = 2595 !
bathy(1031,821:823) = 2595
bathy(1030,820:822) = 2595
bathy (1029,819:821) = 2595 !
bathy (1028,818:820) = 2595 !
! #################################################################
! Name of the zoomed area : NORTH POLE !!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!
! TPENDUFF 19 JULY 2006
bathy(1062,1019) = 0.5 * ( bathy (1061,1019) + bathy (1063,1019) )
! #################################################################
! Name of the zoomed area : Gibbs Fracture zone
! zoom ration : 2
! i min : 982
! i max : }104
! j min : 708
! j max : 774
\begin{tabular}{|c|}
\hline bathy (1008, 742) = 3650 ! instead of 3615 \\
\hline bathy (1009, 742) = 3650 ! instead of 3568 \\
\hline bathy (1010, 742) = 3650 ! instead of 3535 \\
\hline bathy (1011, 742) = 3650 ! instead of 3514 \\
\hline bathy (1011, 741) \(=3650\) ! instead of 3603 \\
\hline bathy (1012, 741) = 3650 ! instead of 3602 \\
\hline bathy (1013, 741) \(=3650\) ! instead of 3615 \\
\hline bathy (1014, 741) \(=3650\) ! instead of 3611 \\
\hline bathy (1015, 741) = 3650 ! instead of 3565 \\
\hline bathy (1016, 741) \(=3650\) ! instead of 3581 \\
\hline bathy (1017, 741) = 3650 ! instead of 3582 \\
\hline bathy (1018, 741) \(=3650\) ! instead of 3651 \\
\hline bathy (1020, 741) \(=3650\) ! instead of 3608 \\
\hline bathy (1021, 741) = 3650 ! instead of 3458 \\
\hline bathy (1022, 741) = 3650 ! instead of 3318 \\
\hline bathy (1023, 740) = 3650 ! instead of 3278 \\
\hline bathy (1022, 740) = 3650 ! instead of 3274 \\
\hline bathy (1024, 740) = 3650 ! instead of 3279 \\
\hline bathy (1025, 740) = 3650 ! instead of 3240 \\
\hline bathy (1026, 740) = 3650 ! instead of 3017 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
```

bathy $(1027,740)=3650 \quad$ ! instead of 2963
bathy $(1028,740)=3650 \quad$ ! instead of 3043
bathy $(1029,740)=3650 \quad$ ! instead of 3029
bathy $(1030,740)=3650 \quad$ ! instead of 3110
bathy $(1030,739)=3650 \quad$ ! instead of 2832
bathy $(1031,739)=3650$ ! instead of 3005
bathy $(1032,739)=3650 \quad$ ! instead of 3019
bathy $(1033,739)=3650 \quad$ ! instead of 3155
bathy $(1034,739)=3650 \quad$ ! instead of 3302
bathy $(1035,739)=3650 \quad$ ! instead of 3383
bathy $(1036,739)=3650$ ! instead of 3442
bathy $(1037,739)=3650$ ! instead of 3534
bathy $(1038,739)=3650$ ! instead of 3621
! \#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#
! Name of the zoomed area : Oceanographer fracture Zone
! zoom ration : 2
! i min : 982
! i max : 1048
! j min : 616
! j max : 682
bathy $(1001,650)=3000 \quad$ ! instead of 2924
bathy $(1002,650)=3000 \quad$ ! instead of 2882
bathy $(1003,650)=3000 \quad$ ! instead of 2864
bathy $(1004,650)=3000 \quad$ ! instead of 2892
bathy $(1006,650)=3000 \quad!$ instead of 2904
bathy $(1007,650)=3000 \quad!$ instead of 2736
bathy $(1007,649)=3000 \quad$ ! instead of 2453
bathy $(1008,649)=3000 \quad!$ instead of 2655
bathy $(1009,649)=3000 \quad!$ instead of 2766
bathy $(1010,649)=3000 \quad!$ instead of 2754
bathy $(1010,648)=3000 \quad$ ! instead of 2687
bathy $(1011,648)=3000 \quad$ ! instead of 2662
bathy $(1012,648)=3000 \quad!$ instead of 2704
bathy $(1013,648)=3000 \quad!$ instead of 2811
bathy $(1014,648)=3000 \quad!$ instead of 2907
! \#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#
! Name of the zoomed area : Vema channel
! zoom ration : 2
! i min : 978
! i max : 1044
! j min : 331
! j max : 397

```
! ################################################################
! Name of the zoomed area : Valvis ridge South
```

```
! zoom ration : 2
! i min : }109
! i max : }115
! j min : }30
! j max : 371
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & \(341)=3500\)
\(344)=3500\) & & d & 3607 \\
\hline hy(1 & 6) \(=3500\) & & stead & 3665 \\
\hline hy(114 & 362) \(=3500\) & & instead & 32 \\
\hline (11 & 362) \(=3500\) & & ad & 3832 \\
\hline (1143 & 63) \(=3500\) & & d & 3693 \\
\hline (1140, & 361) \(=3500\) & & ad & 38 \\
\hline hy (1140 & 361) \(=3500\) & & instead & 3699 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
```

! \#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#
! Name of the zoomed area : Rio de Janeiro fracture Zone
! zoom ration : 2
! i min : 1064
! i max : 1130
! j min : 375
! j max : 441
bathy $(1107,408)=3900 \quad$ ! instead of 3714
bathy $(1108,408)=3900 \quad$ ! instead of 3805
bathy $(1109,408)=3900 \quad$ ! instead of 3882
bathy $(1106,408)=3900$ ! instead of 3593
bathy $(1105,408)=3900 \quad$ ! instead of 3533
bathy $(1104,408)=3900$ ! instead of 3561
bathy $(1103,408)=3900$ ! instead of 3570
bathy $(1102,408)=3900$ ! instead of 3539
bathy $(1101,408)=3900$ ! instead of 3399
bathy $(1100,408)=3900$ ! instead of 3282
bathy $(1097,408)=3900$ ! instead of 3529
bathy $(1098,408)=3900$ ! instead of 3404
bathy $(1096,408)=3900$ ! instead of 3722
bathy $(1095,408)=3900$ ! instead of 3815
bathy $(1094,408)=3900$ ! instead of 3777
bathy $(1093,408)=3900$ ! instead of 3871
bathy $(1099,408)=3900$ ! instead of 3336
! \#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#
! Name of the zoomed area : Romanche fracture zone
! zoom ration : 2
! i min : 1030
! i max : 1096
! j min : 459
! j max : 525

```
bathy(1057, 496) = 3700 ! instead of 3849
bathy(1058, 496) = 3700 ! instead of 3925
bathy(1059, 496) = 3700 ! instead of 3937
bathy(1060, 496) = 3700 ! instead of 3942
bathy (1061, 496) = 3700 ! instead of 4060
bathy(1062, 496) = 3700 ! instead of 4177
bathy(1063, 497) = 3700 ! instead of 3995
bathy(1064, 497) = 3700 ! instead of 4011
bathy(1065, 497) = 3700 ! instead of 4041
bathy(1066, 497) = 3700 ! instead of 4044
bathy(1067, 497) = 3700 ! instead of 4051
bathy(1068, 497) = 3700 ! instead of 4306
bathy(1069, 498) = 3700 ! instead of 4106
bathy(1070, 498) = 3700 ! instead of 4153
bathy(1070, 499) = 3700 ! instead of 3847
bathy (1071, 499) = 3700 ! instead of 3871
bathy(1072, 499) = 3700 ! instead of 3977
bathy(1073, 499) = 3700 ! instead of 4142
bathy(1074, 499) = 3700 ! instead of 4280
bathy (1075, 499) = 3700 ! instead of 4403
bathy (1076, 500) = 3700 ! instead of 4133
bathy(1077, 500) = 3700 ! instead of 3974
bathy(1078, 500) = 3700 ! instead of 3919
bathy(1079, 500) = 3700 ! instead of 3820
bathy (1082, 500) = 4200 ! instead of 4183
bathy (1083, 500) = 4200 ! instead of 4070
bathy (1084, 500) = 4200 ! instead of 3850
bathy(1085, 500) = 4200 ! instead of 3668
bathy(1086, 501) = 4200 ! instead of 3755
bathy(1087, 501) = 4200 ! instead of 3832
bathy(1088, 501) = 4200 ! instead of 3853
bathy (1089, 501) = 4200 ! instead of 3906
bathy(1090, 501) = 4200 ! instead of 4013
bathy(1086, 500) = 4200 ! instead of 3665
! \#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#
! Name of the zoomed area : Red Sea North
! zoom ration : 2
! i min : }126
! i max : }133
! j min : }55
! j max : 624
```



```
! Name of the zoomed area : Red Sea south
! zoom ration : 2
! i min : }129
! i max : }135
! j min : 514
! j max : 580
bathy(1319, 556) = 160 ! instead of 94
bathy(1320, 556) = 140 ! instead of 87
bathy(1320, 555) = 140 ! instead of 59
bathy(1320, 554) = 140 ! instead of 45
bathy(1321, 554) = 140 ! instead of 56
bathy(1321, 553) = 140 ! instead of 58
bathy(1322, 553) = 140 ! instead of 66
bathy(1322, 552) = 140 ! instead of 44
bathy(1323, 552) = 140 ! instead of 42
bathy(1323, 551) = 140 ! instead of 48
bathy(1323, 550) = 140 ! instead of 52
bathy (1324, 550) = 140 ! instead of 71
!!!!!!!!!!!!!! New THIERRY
!bathy(1325, 549) = 140 !
!bathy (1325, 548) = 206 !
!bathy(1325, 547) = 246 !
!bathy(1324, 549) = 172 !
!bathy (1324, 548) = 245 !
!bathy(1324, 547) = 292 !
!bathy (1324, 546) = 348 !
! bathy (1325, 546) = 400 !
! bathy (1326, 546) = 553 !
!bathy(1326, 545) = 676 !
!bathy(1327, 545) = 676 !
! bathy (1327, 546) = 676 !
! bathy (1326, 547) = 553 !
```

!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!! VERSION T.PENDUFF july 122006
!! \#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#
!! Name of the zoomed area : Red Sea south
bathy $(1329,547)=790$
bathy $(1328,547)=790$
bathy $(1327,547)=790$
bathy $(1326,547)=790$
bathy $(1325,547)=790$
bathy $(1324,547)=790$
bathy $(1323,547)=790$

```
bathy(1323, 548) = 790
bathy (1323, 549) = 790
```

! \#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#
! Name of the zoomed area : Agulhas
! zoom ration : 2
! i min : 1212
! i max : 1278
! j min : 303
! j max : 369
! \#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#
! Name of the zoomed area : Mozambique/Comores
! zoom ration : 2
! i min : 1292
! i max : 1358
! j min : 413
! j max : 479
bathy $(1341,448)=200 \quad$ ! instead of 1094
bathy $(1342,449)=200 \quad!$ instead of 521
bathy $(1341,449)=450 \quad!$ instead of 1067
bathy $(1337,449)=200 \quad!$ instead of 2751
bathy $(1336,449)=200 \quad!$ instead of 2196
bathy $(1335,449)=1200 \quad$ ! instead of 2371
bathy $(1331,448)=400 \quad!$ instead of 1244
bathy $(1330,448)=400 \quad!$ instead of 1298
bathy $(1328,449)=400 \quad!$ instead of 2117
bathy $(1327,450)=400 \quad$ ! instead of 1827
bathy $(1326,449)=400$ ! instead of 2056
! \#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#
! Name of the zoomed area : Mascarene Ridge
! zoom ration : 2
! i min : 1362
! i max : 1428
! j min : 409
! j max : 475

| bathy $(1391,461)=200$ | $!$ | instead of |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 770 |  |  |
| bathy $(1391,462)=200$ | $!$ instead of | 606 |
| bathy $(1391,463)=200$ | $!$ instead of | 693 |
| bathy $(1376,476)=200$ | $!$ instead of | 753 |
| bathy $(1376,477)=200$ | $!$ instead of | 426 |
| bathy $(1363,477)=200$ | $!$ instead of | 1070 |
| bathy $(1363,476)=200$ | $!$ instead of | 885 |
| bathy $(1362,475)=200$ | $!$ instead of | 1250 |
| bathy $(1395,450)=280$ | $!$ instead of | 508 |
| bathy $(1395,449)=350$ | ! instead of | 936 |

```
bathy(1395, 448) = 600 ! instead of 1714
bathy(1394, 443) = 400 ! instead of 614
bathy (1394, 444) = 600 ! instead of 978
bathy(1393, 444) = 450 ! instead of }82
bathy (1393, 445) = 450 ! instead of 1165
bathy(1393, 446) = 500 ! instead of 1546
bathy(1394, 447) = 1100 ! instead of 1823
! ################################################################
! Name of the zoomed area : Seychelles
! zoom ration : 2
! i min : }134
i max : }141
! j min : 437
! j max : 503
bathy(1363, 478) = 800 ! instead of 1683
bathy(1363, 476) = 200 ! instead of }88
bathy (1362, 475) = 200 ! instead of }125
bathy (1362, 474) = 400 ! instead of }153
! ################################################################
! Name of the zoomed area : Ninety east ridge north
! zoom ration : 2
! i min : 35
! i max : }10
! j min : 479
! j max : 545
bathy \((68,501)=3400 \quad\) ! instead of 3507
bathy \((68,500)=3400 \quad\) ! instead of 3571
bathy \((67,490)=3000 \quad\) ! instead of 3537
! ################################################################
! Name of the zoomed area : ninety east ridge middle
! zoom ration : 2
! i min : 29
! i max : 95
! j min : 425
! j max : 491
bathy( 67, 479) = 3650 ! instead of 3698
bathy( 67, 477) = 3650 ! instead of 3607
bathy( 67, 478) = 3650 ! instead of 3756
bathy( 65,460) = 3400 ! instead of 3600
```

```
! Hand modification by Ariane Koch-Larrouy on
    ORCA_RO25 bathymetry combined coast for
    partial step
#################################################
Name of the zomed area : Indonesian Throughflow
i min : 89
! i max : 290
! j min : 395
! j max : 602
! Timor passage
bathy( 202, 453) = 1300.00 ! instead of 1078.23
bathy( 202, 454) = 1300.00 ! instead of 1027.36
bathy( 203, 454) = 1300.00 ! instead of 1176.71
bathy( 204, 454) = 1300.00 ! instead of 1275.38
! Torres is not closed in ORCAO25-G44
! bathy( 280, 456) = 0.00000 ! instead of 5.00000
! bathy( 279, 457) = 0.00000 ! instead of 5.00000
! bathy( 279, 458) = 0.00000 ! instead of 5.00000
bathy( 279, 459) = 0.00000 ! instead of 5.00000
bathy( 279, 460) = 0.00000 ! instead of 5.00000
! bathy( 279, 461) = 0.00000 ! instead of 5.00000
! land retreived between Lombok and Sape
bathy( 177, 463) = 195.000 ! instead of 0.00000
bathy( 178, 463) = 300.000 ! instead of 0.00000
bathy( 179, 463) = 400.000 ! instead of 0.00000
bathy( 180, 463) = 566.531 ! instead of 0.00000
! Sape
bathy( 187, 464) = 0.00000 ! instead of 202.594
bathy( 188, 464) = 0.00000 ! instead of 123.500
bathy( 187, 465) = 0.00000 ! instead of 104.000
bathy( 188, 465) = 0.00000 ! instead of 197.500
! Iles d'Alor
bathy( 203, 465) = 0.00000 ! instead of 241.048
bathy( 205, 465) = 0.00000 ! instead of 1010.97
! Ombai
bathy( 209, 465) = 1800.00 ! instead of 1759.98
bathy( 211, 465) = 1800.00 ! instead of 1671.20
bathy( 212, 465) = 1800.00 ! instead of 1401.44
bathy( 213, 465) = 1800.00 ! instead of 1193.37
bathy( 211, 466) = 1350.00 ! instead of 2057.59
bathy( 212, 466) = 0.00000 ! instead of 2003.67
bathy( 213, 466) = 1800.00 ! instead of 1728.50
```



```
bathy( 218, 520) = 0.00000 ! instead of
bathy( 212, 521) = 0.00000 ! instead of
bathy( 217, 521) = 0.00000 ! instead of
bathy( 217, 522) = 0.00000 ! instead of
bathy( 217, 523) = 0.00000 ! instead of
bathy( 217, 524) = 0.00000 ! instead of
bathy( 215, 525) = 0.00000 ! instead of
bathy( 215, 526) = 0.00000 ! instead of
! Sumba
bathy( 189, 462) = 610.000 ! instead of 520.226
bathy( 190, 462) = 610.000 ! instead of 496.277
bathy( 191, 462) = 610.000 ! instead of 571.018
bathy( 190, 463) = 167.000 ! instead of 466.042
! sortie Savu
bathy( 197, 456) = 0.00000 ! instead of
bathy( 198, 456) = 0.00000 ! instead of
bathy( 199, 456) = 1041.11 ! instead of
bathy( 204, 457) = 100.000 ! instead of
bathy( 195, 458) = 1100.00 ! instead of
2984.29
1521.66
3147.63
3276.00
3154.99
3272.37
1061.76
249.692
```

```
! Luzon strait
```

! Luzon strait
bathy( 194, 575) = 200.000 ! instead of 667.179
bathy( 194, 575) = 200.000 ! instead of 667.179
bathy( 195, 576) = 100.000 ! instead of 239.656
bathy( 195, 576) = 100.000 ! instead of 239.656
bathy( 196, 577) = 0.00000 ! instead of 625.711
bathy( 196, 577) = 0.00000 ! instead of 625.711
bathy( 196, 578) = 850.000 ! instead of 826.502
bathy( 196, 578) = 850.000 ! instead of 826.502
bathy( 196, 579) = 650.000 ! instead of 1396.10
bathy( 196, 579) = 650.000 ! instead of 1396.10
bathy( 196, 580) = 1100.00 ! instead of 1909.46
bathy( 196, 580) = 1100.00 ! instead of 1909.46
bathy( 196, 581) = 850.000 ! instead of 2351.54
bathy( 196, 581) = 850.000 ! instead of 2351.54
bathy( 196, 582) = 650.000 ! instead of 2642.53
bathy( 196, 582) = 650.000 ! instead of 2642.53
bathy( 196, 583) = 2.00000 ! instead of 2756.72
bathy( 196, 583) = 2.00000 ! instead of 2756.72
bathy( 196, 584) = 150.000 ! instead of 2773.34
bathy( 196, 584) = 150.000 ! instead of 2773.34
bathy( 194, 586) = 1200.00 ! instead of 1533.90
bathy( 194, 586) = 1200.00 ! instead of 1533.90
! Molucca Strait
! Molucca Strait
bathy( 232, 496) = 7.00000 ! instead of 50.0000
bathy( 232, 496) = 7.00000 ! instead of 50.0000
bathy( 231, 497) = 2.00000 ! instead of 111.500
bathy( 231, 497) = 2.00000 ! instead of 111.500
bathy( 230, 498) = 2.00000 ! instead of 313.281
bathy( 230, 498) = 2.00000 ! instead of 313.281
bathy( 231, 498) = 2.00000 ! instead of 13.0000
bathy( 231, 498) = 2.00000 ! instead of 13.0000
bathy( 229, 499) = 147.000 ! instead of 405.520
bathy( 229, 499) = 147.000 ! instead of 405.520
bathy( 228, 500) = 2.00000 ! instead of 691.682
bathy( 228, 500) = 2.00000 ! instead of 691.682
bathy( 225, 501) = 2.00000 ! instead of 289.244
bathy( 225, 501) = 2.00000 ! instead of 289.244
bathy( 226, 501) = 300.000 ! instead of 560.011
bathy( 226, 501) = 300.000 ! instead of 560.011
bathy( 227, 501) = 700.000 ! instead of 730.845
bathy( 227, 501) = 700.000 ! instead of 730.845
! Lifamatola
! Lifamatola
bathy( 204, 492) = 3.00000 ! instead of 57.0000
bathy( 204, 492) = 3.00000 ! instead of 57.0000
bathy( 205, 492) = 4.00000 ! instead of 192.000

```
bathy( 205, 492) = 4.00000 ! instead of 192.000
```

```
bathy( 206, 492) = 3.00000 ! instead of
bathy( 207, 492) = 50.0000 ! instead of
bathy( 214, 492) = 4.00000 ! instead of
bathy( 215, 492) = 700.000 ! instead of
bathy( 216, 492) = 1829.00 ! instead of
bathy( 217, 492) = 1200.00 ! instead of
bathy( 218, 492) = 200.000 ! instead of
bathy( 219, 493) = 0.00000 ! instead of
bathy( 203, 494) = 60.0000 ! instead of
bathy( 220, 494) = 2.00000 ! instead of
bathy( 222, 494) = 500.000 ! instead of
bathy( 222, 495) = 500.000 ! instead of
189.000
14.5000
861.835
1153.23
1525.74
1851.48
1758.53
1105.22
62.0000
329.407
373.465
364.917
! Island around Belitung in Java Sea
bathy( 137, 487) = 0.00000 ! instead of
bathy( 139, 487) = 0.00000 ! instead of
bathy( 140, 487) = 0.00000 ! instead of 1.00000
bathy( 143, 489) = 0.00000 ! instead of 27.0000
! Island isolated rattached to the coast
bathy( 134, 487) = 0.00000 ! instead of 3.00000
bathy( 135, 487) = 0.00000 ! instead of 6.00000
bathy( 133, 488) = 0.00000 ! instead of 6.00000
bathy( 134, 488) = 0.00000 ! instead of 7.50000
bathy( 133, 489) = 0.00000 ! instead of 6.00000
bathy( 130, 490) = 0.00000 ! instead of 6.00000
bathy( 131, 490) = 0.00000 ! instead of 8.00000
bathy( 132, 490) = 0.00000 ! instead of 7.00000
bathy( 133, 490) = 0.00000 ! instead of 5.00000
! Balabac Strait
bathy( 179, 530) = 15.0000 ! instead of 25.5000
! Sulu Archipelago
bathy( 188, 519) = 13.0000 ! instead of 155.383
bathy( 196, 523) = 7.00000 ! instead of 126.000
bathy( 193, 521) = 2.00000 ! instead of 17.5000
! Lombok Strait
bathy( 173, 464) = 0.00000 ! instead of 435.309
bathy( 174, 464) = 160.000 ! instead of 29.0000
! Philippine Archipelago
bathy( 213, 539) = 0.00000 ! instead of 1.00000
bathy( 213, 540) = 0.00000 ! instead of 1.00000
bathy( 213, 541) = 0.00000 ! instead of 1.00000
bathy( 213, 542) = 2.00000 ! instead of 4.00000
bathy( 207, 550) = 0.00000 ! instead of 2.00000
```

```
! Taiwan Strait
bathy( 179, 595) = 5.00000 ! instead of 16.0000
bathy( 187, 595) = 22.0000 ! instead of 35.0000
bathy( 188, 595) = 5.00000 ! instead of 22.0000
! Sunda Strait
bathy( 133, 474) = 0.00000 ! instead of 38.0000
bathy( 133, 475) = 0.00000 ! instead of 28.0000
bathy( 133, 476) = 0.00000 ! instead of 37.5000
```


[^0]:    *Laboratoire de Physique des oceans, CNRS-Ifremer-UBO, Brest, France
    ${ }^{\dagger}$ Laboratoire des Ecoulements Geophysiques et Industriels, CNRS UMR 5519, Grenoble, France
    ${ }^{\ddagger}$ LOCEAN, Paris,France

